

Kimberly A. Curry
Associate General Counsel

Office 202.428.1141
pepco.com
kimberly.curry@exeloncorp.com

EP9628
701 Ninth Street NW
Washington, DC 20068-0001

April 14, 2026

Mr. Andrew S. Johnston
Executive Secretary
Public Service Commission of Maryland
William Donald Shaefer Tower
6 St. Paul Street, 16th Floor
Baltimore, MD 21202

RE: Case No. 9820 in the Matter of the Application of the Potomac Electric Power Company for Adjustments to its Retail Rates for the Distribution of Electric Energy

Dear Mr. Johnston:

Potomac Electric Power Company (“Pepco” or the “Company”) is providing notice that it is not pursuing a fully forecasted test year (“FFTY”) in the above-referenced proceeding based on legislative developments under the Utility RELIEF Act which, once signed into law by the Governor, suspends consideration by the Public Service Commission of forecast test years in a base rate proceeding pending a Commission study within the allowed timeframe.

Pepco will pursue its traditional base rate case that Pepco filed¹ in compliance with Order No. 91181.² Pepco will be identifying on or before April 17 those portions of the evidentiary record that it will be removing from the record. When Pepco filed the above referenced case on October 14, 2025, the Company went beyond the requirement of Order No. 91181 to provide the “requisite information needed to calculate a historic test year,” and in fact, provided separate testimony,³ schedules, and supporting documents, including a specific test year in support of a traditional base rate case. The Commission Technical Staff, Office of People’s Counsel, Maryland Energy Administration, and the Apartment and Office Building of Metropolitan Washington filed direct testimony responding to issues raised in Pepco’s traditional base rate case and based their revenue requirement recommendations on the traditional test year. Based on the sufficient evidence in the record Pepco is proceeding with its traditional base rate case on the existing procedural schedule.

¹ ML#323275.

² Order No. 91181 at 30 (June 10, 2024). “The form of the Company’s next rate case can be any of its choosing, so long as its application is accompanied by the requisite information needed to calculate a historic test year.”

³ See e.g. Alo Direct Part II and the Direct Testimony of Connor Nelson.

Respectfully submitted,

/S/ Kimberly A. Curry

Kimberly A. Curry

Anne Bancroft

Douglas E. Micheel

Matthew K. Segers

Taylor Beckham

Attorneys For Potomac Electric Power Company

701 Ninth Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20068

Encl.

cc: All parties of record